Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/661,130	MATTHEWS ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Joseph R. Maniwang	2144
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Amnd after Non-Final</u>		
(1) <u>Joseph R. Maniwang</u> .	(3)	
(2) Michael DeSanctis (Reg. No. 39,957).	(4)	
Date of Interview: 2 October 2007	Time: <u>10:30</u>	•
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ A Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	Applicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
		,
Claims discussed:		
		ļ.
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE Constitution Sheet	GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT W	/AS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		!
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separative directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separation not result in resolution of all issues. A brief su 	on. The examiner will provide a warate record of the substance of	ritten summary of the substance the interview
		.]
		•
- Les la		
(Examiner/SPË Sigr <mark>l</mark> ature) (Apr	olicant/Applicant's Representative	: Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed the amendment filed 09/29/07. Examiner communicated that the amended Drawings and Claims were acceptable, but that additional amendment to the Specification would be necessary in order to allow the case. The necessary changes would be detailed in a subsequent Office Action.